SUBMISSIONS: Enhanced Annual Plan				96	16	62	448		59	141	448
SOR	2024-202			Option 1 Reduce	Option 2 Continue	Option 3 Keep	No view	Roading: Feedback Unedited	Option 1 Revised	Option 2 Keep	No viev
				programme						Current	
	Forename	Surname	Organisation		Roading				Multi Us	er Water	
1	Michael	Avers		x				N/A		x	
2	Neal	Clementson				x		Lobby government to maintain level of funding or	x		
		ciementson				^		increase.	Ä		
3	Matt	Sweeney				x		Affordability concerns	x		
4	Barry	Walker	Rate Payer		x			Continue with submitted programme	x		
5	Kathryn	Bainbridge		x				N/A	x		
6	Aaron	Tate					x				x
Ū	Aaron	Tate									
7	Sawyers			x						х	<u> </u>
8	Kelly	Rea				x		N/A		x	
9	Lee-Ann	Avery		x				Raises issue of health and safety for some roads.		x	
10	Allan	Rea				v		N/A		v	
10	וואוורק	Nea				x				х	
11	LD	Carrington				x		N/A			x
12	Christine	Carter					x	Quality Control needed for contracts. Will not vote on			x
								any option.			
	John Keith	Wilson Carter		x			x	Concern for continued borrowings. Roading work should			x x
	Max	Gallavin		x				come with warrantee. N/A		х	<u> </u>
	Alison Clint and	Dunacan		x				N/A		x	<u> </u>
17	Vanessa	Tamatea				X		N/A		X	<u> </u>

	59	141	448		69.68%				
	Option 1	Option 2		Policy on Water Rates: Feedback	Other comments				
	•	Кеер	No view			Alternative form		Summary	
	Revised	Current							
					EAP Feedback				
	Multi Us	er Water					Funding requested - \$ only	Top 3 Topics Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics
							,		
		x		N/A Questions investigation.	N/A N/A				
				Questions investigation.	NA				
or									
	x								
				Affordability concerns	N/A				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	x								
					M				
	x				We would like an effective storm water system at Carters Beach				
				Disagrees with single household users paying for bigger users of	Questions if there is any plan to revise rates rebate				
				water	scheme.				
	x								
					Questions staff salaries.				
					Questions stan salaries.				
			x						
		x		Incorrect rating info for property. Disagrees with proposed method.	N/A				
				No Wastewater charges for septic tank users.					
		x							
				Would like policy left as is					
				Would like policy left as is.					
•		x							
				Incorrect rating info for property. Disagrees with proposed method.					
				No Wastewater charges for septic tank users. Would support a water					
		x		meter system					
				Suggests funding to install individual rain water tanks.	Does not favour expense of the cultural hub.				
			x					Affordability	
				Questions a community group run water scheme for Little Wanganui.					
ote on			x	More time needed for further comments.					
			x		-	l object to Councils near 20%		Affordability	
k should				Concerned what upgrades are being required.	Glasseye Creek needs to be resealed.	increase			
			~						
		x			Review user charges for council facilities. Core services need to be affordable.				
		x		N/A	N/A				
		x		N/A	N/A				
		ıl		<u> </u>		•		<u> </u>	



			96	16	62	448		59	141	448		69.68%				
SUBMISSIONS: Enhance			Option 1	Option 2	Option 3			Option 1	Option 2		Policy on Water Rates: Feedback	Other comments				
2024-202	25		Reduce	Continue	Кеер	No view	Roading: Feedback Unedited	Revised	Keep	No view			Alternative form			S
			programme						Current			EAP Feedback		Funding requested		
No. Forename	Surname	Organisation		Roading				Multi U	ser Water					Funding requested - \$ only	Top 3 Topics	То
		Market Cross										Market Cross Community Group - funding request				T
18 Peter	Gibson	Community Group		x			Karamea Highway requires considerable maintenance.			x		to service public toilets, lighting and lawnmowing. Would like 2.5k p/a funding increased to 3k p/a.		\$3,000		
19 Ross	Eddy			×					x							
20 Nelson	Bee		x				N/A Concern Council is not keeping to 21-24 work	х			Commercial water users should pay more. N/A	Disagrees with water meters for households.				
21 Tony	Robertson	Retired	x				programme.			X	,	Concern about affordability and spending on			Cost / usage of	
	Douthett Malgue		x x				Rates are too high. N/A	x	x			consultants. Affordibilty concerns.			consultatns Affordability	Afforda
	Mackay		x					~	x		Agrees with everyone being on water meters. Concern for higher					+
											charges for business. Disagrees with proposed policy.					
25 Ray	Lunn	Bazils				х	N/A		x							
26 Lynette	Smith	Estate A Lester - 80 Peel St, Westport	x						x		Disagrees with proposed policy. Affordability concerns.				Affordability	
	Smith	7825	x						x		Disagrees with proposed policy. Affordability concerns.				Affordability	
28 Kyle and Alicia	Walker		x						x			Would like a 0% increase considered.	I object to Councils near 20%			
	Quinn Chan					x			x	X			increase			
Stephen and	Gillam		x			^			x			Spending concerns.				-
32 Josephine	Martin Short			x	x			x x								
34 Esther	Clark			X	~			X			-	Opposed to rate rise. Affordability concern. Raises			Affordability	Cost / ι
	Cainn				X			X				issues with contractor. Cost of waste unaffordable.			Anordability	consult
36 JS	Mathers	Ngakawau Reserve			X				x			Remove portaloo toilet from Hector.				
36 15	Mathers	Subcommittee				X				X					Cost Lucase of	
37 Yvonne Brace	Heart		x				Change Karamea road to a toll road if ut becomes a ratepayer responsability.		x			Disagrees with use of consultants. Disagrees with spending on the hub.			Cost / usage of consultatns	<u> </u>
38 Vanessa Brace	James					x	NZTA, funded through tax should pay.		x			Disagrees with use of consultants.			Cost / usage of consultants	
39 Malcolm	Brace		×				NZTA, funded through tax and petrol should pay.		x		Affordability concerns.	Disagrees with use of consultants. Disagrees with hub.			Cost / usage of consultants	
40		Pinnacle Fishing 208-208A				x				x	Opposed to water changes.	Affordability concerns.			Affordability	
		Palmerston St (Shops)													•	
41		Pinnacle Fishing 112-114				x				x	Opposes water rates. Affordability concerns.				Affordability	
42 Malcolm	Brace	Palmerston St					Disagrees with local government paying for road.		×		Affordability concerns.	Disagrees with consultant use. Disagrees with hub.				Infrastr
43 Alison	Fox				x		Disagrees with local government paying for road.		x		Affordability concerns.	Disagrees with consultant use.			Affordability	Roadin Infrastr
					^		Disagrees with local government paying for road.		^			Disagrees with any rate increases. Disagrees with			Anordability	Roadin
44 Jane and David	Orchard	Waimangaroa Subcommittee				x				x		staff and councillor numbers. Affordability concerns. Funding request for Waimangaroa	I want no increase in rates	\$39,796	Affordability	
45 Carl	Smith		x						x			subcommittee.				_
46 Jocelyn	Harwood		х						x		Disagrees with increase in rates. Investigate other funding sources.	Unhappy with rate increase proposal. Suggests finding alternative funding streams			Affordability	
47 Linda	McLellan		х				Suggests seeking tenders for roading work.		x		Unhappy with water quality.	Maintain waste water services to reduce flooding risk.				
48 Shelly 49 Megan	Williams		x x					x	x							
50 Tom	Horncastle	Reefview Holdings Ltd			x				x							
í í	blumm				x		Understand the need for increase of rates but disagrees	х			Disagrees with charging for water services if they do not use.	Understand the need for increase of rates but			Affordability	
	Tae Law Ashworth		X		x		with amount		x		Disagrees with charging for water services if they do not use.	disagrees with amount			Attordability	
54 Craig 55 Jade	Coleman Armstrong		x x				N/A Disagrees with rate rise. Affordability concerns.	x	x		Disagrees with proposed policy	Affordability concerns. 0% rate rise.			Affordability	
	Schaefer	Old Slaughterhouse		x			Agrees with temporary increase for the betterment of		x		Disagrees with charging for water services if they do not use.					
57 donna	grooby	CO.	x				roads.		x							
58 Dianne	Linton				x				x			Would like Glasseye Creek resealed. Feedback and suggestions on various projects / charges.				
59 lan and Jane	Stevenson				X			х			Limit input from consultants.	Would like policy to be revised. Suggestions				+
60 Shayne 61 Kerri	Barry Morton		x		X		No comment Keep budget in line with funding.	x x			Disagrees with extra costs.	detailed. Find more affordable solutions.				
62 John	Park				x		Maintain planned expenditure if kept in line with acceptable standards.	x								1
63 Susan 64 Mira	Ellmers Schwill			x	x			x	x							+
65 Toni	O'Keefe				x				x		Disagrees with proposed policy					+
66 Georgina 67 Nicola	May Coburn		X	v			Disagrees with spending more.		x							
		1		X				<u> </u>	X		Does not support proposed policy.	<u> </u>	I			



Summary	
Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics
rdability	
: / usage of sultants	
astructure Issues (ding, water etc) astructure Issues (Cost of consultants
astructure Issues (ding, water etc)	Cost of consultants

Image: state				96	16	62	448		59	141	448		69.68'	0/		
	SUBMISSIONS: Enhar	iced Annual Plan		Ontion 1			440					Policy on Water Rates: Feedback				
Norm Norm <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>No view</td><td>Roading: Feedback Unedited</td><td></td><td>Keen</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Alternative form</td><td></td><td>:</td></th<>							No view	Roading: Feedback Unedited		Keen				Alternative form		:
No. 0 No. 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Continue</td><td>Кеер</td><td></td><td></td><td>Revised</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					Continue	Кеер			Revised							
P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P </td <td>No. Forename</td> <td>Surname</td> <td>Organisation</td> <td></td> <td>Roading</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Multi</td> <td>i User Water</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>EAP Feedback</td> <td></td> <td>\$ Top 3 Topics</td> <td>s To</td>	No. Forename	Surname	Organisation		Roading				Multi	i User Water			EAP Feedback		\$ Top 3 Topics	s To
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I <thi< th=""> I I I</thi<>	68 John	Bougen				x				x						
No <	69 Shona	Coles				x				x						
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1 <th1< th=""> 1</th1<></th1<></th1<>	70 Paula	Krammer	Buller Bridge Motel	x						x						
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <								Cost should be covered with fuel tax								
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>~</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>^</td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Affordability</td> <td>Cost /</td>				~					^	x					Affordability	Cost /
b b c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	74 Gav	Sweeney				x			×			Agrees the policy needs revision but needs to be fair.			Affordability	consul
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td>^</td> <td></td> <td>Best long term option</td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					x	^		Best long term option	x							
17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 <	76 John			×		x										
No No<				~												
A A B A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A </td <td>79 Paul</td> <td>Hazlewood</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	79 Paul	Hazlewood		x						x						
Normal bias Nor				х								Agrees to user pays.	Would like to see where rates are spent.			
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				x	X			Unknown funding amount at present.	x	x						
Image: Note: Normalized problem Normalinitity normalized problem	83 Wildman			x				Wait for agency to confirm level of funding.		x		Disagree with charging people for services not utilised				
No No<						x										
10 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10000 1000 1000 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Right Wheel</td><td>Y</td><td>X</td><td></td><td></td><td>Nil</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Nil</td><td>None</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>			Right Wheel	Y	X			Nil				Nil	None			
PAP PAP <td></td> <td></td> <td>Driving Limited</td> <td>^</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>^</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			Driving Limited	^		x			^	X						
No. N	88 Wayne	Pratt		х		~		Unsure if applicable.	x			Unsure if applicable				
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>x</td><td></td><td></td><td>X</td><td></td><td></td><td>x</td><td>X</td><td></td><td>Concerned about affordability.</td><td></td><td>Affordability</td><td></td></th<>				x			X			x	X		Concerned about affordability.		Affordability	
12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10							х			x						
Note Note <t< td=""><td></td><td>Furze</td><td></td><td>x</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Affordability concerns. Disagree with level of spending</td><td>x</td><td></td><td></td><td>Agrees with proposed policy.</td><td></td><td>No more than 5% increase</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Furze		x				Affordability concerns. Disagree with level of spending	x			Agrees with proposed policy.		No more than 5% increase		
Image: bit		Sawyers		~								Disagrees with nav rises	Affordability.	No more than 5% increase		
B Moder Superandorm Supera													Disagrees with any rate rise. Reduce staff	continually increasing its rates by more than the national rate of inflation it must learn to budget appropriately and consider the welfare of its residents. I do not		
9 bind 9 bind<	95 Di	Hooper	Superannuitent				x				x			than 0%. Get on the central governments page. Reduce staff. Your plan needs re-writing. It gets a D from me for literacy. It is not readable, simply expressed or easily understood.		
97 Shayne Kenedy Image: Contrast of the state	96 Daniel	Reynolds		x						x		Concern with level of staff and affordability.	Disagree with meeting regulation.			
B Kren Kren <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>x</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>x</td><td></td><td></td><td>Disagree with any increase over 10%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					x					x			Disagree with any increase over 10%			
99 Carl and Chrissy Alfred Carl and Chrissy Alfred X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X<	98 Karen	Civil					x	Would like speed limit on road. Safety issues.			x	Disagree with increase in rates.		continually increasing its rates by more than the national rate of inflation it must learn to budget appropriately and consider the welfare of its residents. I do not want an increase in rates greater than %. Don't want increase. It's bad enough now and the fact that we pay 2 council sets of rates with Westport and Greymouth is ludicrous. No increase. Use the money govt gives for substantial		
						x			x							
	100Peter101Bryan Keith	Durkin Campbell	+	x		x				x	+	Refer to 'Request to amend rating information database'			 +	



Summary	
Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics
t / usage of sultants	

			96	16	62	448		59	141	448		69.68%					
SUBMISSIONS: Enhanc 2024-202		I	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	No view	Roading: Feedback Unedited	Option 1	Option 2	No view	Policy on Water Rates: Feedback	Other comments	Alternative form			Summary	
			Reduce programme	Continue	Кеер		о 	Revised	Keep Current							,	
No. Forename	Surname	Organisation		Roading				Multi U	ser Water			EAP Feedback		Funding requested - \$	Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics	Тор 3 Торі
				nouung										only			
102 Margaret	Grant					x				x			I understand the economic situation for all ratepayers and that times will be challenging with rate increases. However I would like to Council to consider budgeting funds for the economic development of the region along with the promotion of the region. I look at Kaikoura. A much small District Council than ours and they have a very active Council promotion organisation i.e. Destination Kaikoura. My thoughts are also that some way we have to find an avenue to help Westport's Commercial area. Every town needs their commercial hub and as many businesses are struggling to stay in business on Palmerston Street and surrounds. It concerns us all to see empty premises so any relief for the business would be appreciated. We must keep our town vibrant to encourage people to live here.	I S S S			
103 David	Hingston	HMD Buller Court Ltd				x				x			Feedback on water changes Mentionned Council double dipping		Affordability		
104 Lynda	Hill		x							x	I would like to know what the revised policy would be before I make a decision on this question.						
105 Graham	Howard (Sen)				х			x			Council plan and budget for ongoing work programs - Eg waterpipe renewals, footpaths etc and advise ratepayers what has been done and is to be done 3 monthly. Do need to be done work to good quality not patch-up jobs.	Reduce consultant costs Would like Council to give 3 monthly update on plans on what is getting done			Affordability	Cost / usage of consultatns	
106 Margaret	Montgomery		x						x			All properties should have metered water Make AP easier to read Usage of district posters should be free More promotion of tourism					
107 Allan and Patricia	Wilson		x				Clean kurbs, upkeep and maintenance of infrastructure		×			There is limited information If you have several water connections you should pay The mayor is lucky he doesn't know how much his rates are when we need to cringe every 3 months			Infrastructure Issues Roading, water etc)	(
108 Barry and Nicky	Galbraith	Galbraith Painting Ltd				x				х							
109 Brian	Allison		x				Live within your means. Want and need are different. Ratepayers do not have deep pockets.			x		Waste collection is poor. Giving private contractor to set charges is bad. Council should set charges, listen to ratepayer. Explore other options. Current one yellow bin and glass is poor. No green waste bir is poor. Bag prices are a joke!			Affordability		
110 M	Montgomery	Westport Kiwi Holiday Park and Motels	x						x			Concerned about new policy, Concerned about affordability Incorrect information in letters Unfairness of water policy			Affordability		
111 Susan	Mueller		х				Being on a fixed income, we can not afford any increase in our rates. We are on superannuation only. Make savings in other areas in order to continue		x			The proposed 19% rise in our rates is unacceptable - Ratepayers cannot pay anymore without risk of having to sell their houses.			Affordability		
112 Mark	Young				x		essential services, such as roading repair. Areas of savings should include outside consultants (trust your own staff for advice), scrap the sea wall project and simply dredge the river, avoid spedning on vanity projects.	x				Reject climate emergency Keep water as a public good People could be inpoverished			Cost / usage of consultatns		
113 S	Heslop		x				Stop wasteful spending , for more revenue gathering.	1	x		Stop increasing rates	Annual plan not required Stop increasing rates					1
114 Juliana	Ruiz	Buller District Council			x		Preferred option as it may create less impact in rates, the level of services would not reduced as much and it	x			Rates charges would be fairer if a clear policy is adopted	The level of service would not reduce much if level of funding stays the same					1
115 Simon	Walker				x		will have less impact in the asses.		x			Rates would be fairer with new policy					+
116 Helen 117 NA	Bollinger	Blacks Point Museum NA			x				x		N/A	Overall happy with Council, staff, mayor etc					
117NA118Margaret	NA Jones		x		x		I don't understand the difference between option 1 and option 3.	x x			N/A No rates increase for water	N/A		1			+
119 Peter	Duncan		v				Potential decrease of staff wages Potential sale of the airport		x		Chlorine in the water	We should have debt collection					
reter	Dunicali		^						^								



Summary	
Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics
cost / usage of	
onsultatns	

			96	16	62	448		59	141	448	Deliev en Weter Detect Foodhook	69.68%					
SUBMISSIONS: Enhan 2024-20			Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	No view	Roading: Feedback Unedited	Option 1	Option 2	No view	Policy on Water Rates: Feedback	Other comments	Alternative form			Summary	
2024 20			Reduce programme	Continue	Кеер		nouump. recubuck oncurreu	Revised	Keep Current							Summary	
			pregramme									EAP Feedback		Funding requested - \$			
No. Forename	Surname	Organisation		Roading				Multi U	ser Water					only	Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics	Тор 3 То
							Roading is key to the infrastructure of the westcoast and				Drinking water standards						
							very much so for Buller following the weather events of recent years, the council needs to push for as much										
							support as possible while funding where it is most										
120 Philip John	Plaisted			x			needed , the karamea bluff road and Maybelle bay and Buller gorge need addressing as they are a current		x								
							logistical challenge and pose a serious threat if majorly severed as the likes of the Manawatu gorge this effect										
							would be detrimental to the district and should be seen										
							of high importance while considering roading efforts and funding both current and going forward										
121 William John &	Vaile				x				x		Affordability concern.	Staffing level concern.			Affordability		
Maree Ann122Steve	McQueen		x				Consider alternative funding sources.		x		Consider outside assistance.						
123 Grant	Richards		x				Reduce costs.		x		Reduce rates, stick within agreed budgets	Affordability concern. Request to stop un-necessary spending.			Affordability		
124 Jeannine 125 Steven	Colville Woodcock		x					x	x								
126 Adrienne	Fraser				~			v			Request 0% rate rise	Affordability concern.			Affordability		
120 Adhenne	FIDSEI							^							Anordability		
127 Phil	Rutherford		x					x			Agrees with revised policy	Affordability concerns. Agrees with user pays for services. Consider alternative funding streams.					
128 Angela	Hamson		x				Concern about Karamea Bluff		x		Disagree with charging for services which are not provided. Affordability concern.	Disagree with rate increase. Affordability concern.					
129 Geoff	Volckman	Riverlands Farm Ltd			x		Budget on assumption NZTA will find as budgeted.		x		No rate increased higher than 10%				Affordability		
130 Nicki and Dale	Singleton/Ashwo	Te Reo a Te Taiohi		x				×				Funding request for TE Reo a Te Taiahi, operation of		\$10,000			
	rth Newland			^				~			Reduce consultatn use.	Youth Voice Kawatiri. Disagrees with rate rise.				Cost / usage of	_
131 Heidi			x				Disagree with rate rise.	×			Disagree with chaging for sections without supply.	Disagree with chaging for sections without supply.			Affordability	consultants	_
132 Joseph	Shabashow				×		N/a	x									
133 Amanda	Stuart			x			N/a		x		Disagree with chaging for sections without supply.	Disagree with chaging for sections without supply.					
134 Frank	Dooley	Buller Electricity Limited	x				I will present the Company concerns during the		x		I will present the company concerns during the submission hearing process	BEL opposes adoption of the Annual plan in its draft for. Detailed submissions will be presented during					
		Yelood Properties					submission hearing process.				Will speak to submission	the hearing process Disagrees with draft plan.					
135 FT & JP	Dooley	Limited	x				Will speak to submission		x		Will speak to submission	Disagrees with draft plan.					
136 FT & JP	Dooley	Yelood Family Trus	st x				Will speak to submission		x								
137 FT	Dooley	Yelood Family Trus	st x				Will speak to submission	x			Will speak to submission	Disagrees with draft plan.					
138 Kathleen	Stuart	Karamea	x				Disagrees with rate rise.		x		Disagrees with proposed policy.	Affordability concern. Funding request for Karamea Community			Affordability		
139 Brian	Jones	Community			x		Keep within budget.	x				Incorporated. \$1k p/a for track and \$500 for		\$1,500			
	Jones and	Incorporated Karamea Waste										maintenance on Rata Reserve. Funding request and consultation for waste					
140 Brian & Hamish	MacBeth	Management Group			x			x				management. No amount specificed.					
141 Clare	Tibbles				x				x		Questions previous work completed in Reefton.	Consultatant use questioned. Disagrees with Centra Government water policies. Affordability concern.			Cost / usage of	Affordability	
											Disagrees with cost associated with meeting central government	Disagree with consultant use.			consultants Cost / usage of		_
142 Grant	Phillips				x		Disagrees with rate payers funding highways.		x		directives.				consultants		_
143 Paul	Opsomer				х			x			Disagrees with proposed policy. Disagrees with calculation method.						
144 Linda	Grammer	Buller Tramping Club	x				none		х		BDC needs to take action to protect ecologicially significiant land and existing water infrastructure.	Budget funds to protect local assets. Prioritise climate change.					
145 Sean	Casey	C/- Buller Chartered	x						x		Will speak to submission						
	,	Accountants Ltd O'Conor Institute						[Will speak to submission						
146 Frank	Dooley	Trust Board	x					 	x							1	
147 Nathan 148 Richard	Thompson Arlidge				x x			x			Move towards rating dwellings.	Disagrees with land value rating system.					<u> </u>
149 Kair	Lippiatt	ASURE Chelsea	x				Affordability concerns.		x		Would like to see public toilets in Northern Buller. Disagrees with the proposed policy. Will impact business.						+
150 Lynne	Elvins	Gateway Motor Lodge			×				x								
151 John 152 William	Botma					x	Questions coording	 	x		Disagrees with proposed policy.						_
152William153Hilary	Rodnell Ladds		x		X		Questions spending.		X	x	Disagrees with increase. Agrees with a revised policy but not the proposed.						
154 Franz	Heinrich Mueller		x				Affordability concern.		x		Affordability concern	Affordability concern			Affordability		
155 L. Bowman	S Barrett		х						х		Disagrees with proposed changes, Disagrees with charging for						
156 Phillip	Stuart				x		Disagreed with rate increase.	 	X		service not being used.	Requests increase in service for footpaths in					
157 ANNETTE	HARRISON		x						x			Reefton, disagrees with waste charges. Disagrees					
												with funding cycleways from road charges.					
158 Trefor	Jones				x		Disagress with increased rate	х			Revise how water is supplied in retore areas.	Feedback re waste.	I do not want an increase in rate	s			
159 Nicola	Hill-Hickey					X	Feedback regarding Reefton specific issues on highway	 		x		Feedback re waste.	greater than 5%				
160 Nicholas	Harrison		x				and footpaths	 	x						0.11		
161 Margaret	Fripp				x			х			Approves of installing water meters. Disagrees with wastewater being applied in general rate.	Disagree with contractor work.			Cost / usage of consultants		
162 Lex	Blackadder		x						x			Affordability concern. DIsagree with staff and			Aff	Cost / usage of	
163 Brent Robert	Oak		x				Keep rates low	X			Would like to see stormwater system upsized.	contrctor numbers.			Affordability	consultants	
164 Robert Alexander	Stewart	ļ			×			x			ייסמות ווגב נס שפר שנטוווושמנפו שאשנפו ערשוע ערשו.						<u> </u>
165 Joy	Prebble				Х		Disagrees with funding model.	Х	I		1	I	I				



Summary	
Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics
Cost / usage of consultants	
Affordability	
Cost / usage of consultants	

			96	16	62	448		59	141	448		69.68%	, 0			
SUBMISSIONS: Enha	nced Annual Plan		Option 1	Option 2	Option 3			Option 1	Option 2		Policy on Water Rates: Feedback	Other comments				
2024-2	025		Reduce	Continue	Кеер	No view	Roading: Feedback Unedited	Revised	Кеер	No view			Alternative form			:
			programme						Current			EAP Feedback				
No. Forename	Surname	Organisation		Roading				Multi U	ser Water					Funding requested - \$ only	Top 3 Topics	Тс
											Disagrees with any rate increase.	Disagrees with consultant use. Disagrees with				Cost / I
166 Adriana	James					X	Disagrees with any rate increase	_		x		adhering to central government impositions. Affordability concerns.		-	Affordability	consult
167 Elizabeth	Wratter		x						x			0% rate increase requested.	I do not want an increase in rates			_
168 Lynda	Hill					х				x		Feedback re waste.	greater than 5%			
169 James	Hill					х				x		Feedback re waste.	I do not want an increase in rates greater than 5%			
170 Cecilia	Upson				x				x			Requests reduction in council cost. 0% rate increase requested.			Infrastructure Issues Roading, water etc)	-
171 Cheryl	Fick					х				x			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%			
172 Tjard	du Plessis					х				x			I do not want an increase in rates			
173 Joanne	Mackay					x	Disagrees with rate increase.			x	Does not understand rates.	I dont understand	greater than 0% I do not want an increase in rates			
174 Engela	du Plessis					x				x			greater than 0%			
175 Cheryl	Rodnell				x		No confidence in Council		x		0% rate increase. Not good for us. The ratepayers putting the increase up	0% rate increase request				
176 Pauline	Ansley					x				x			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%			
177 Curtis	Rusbatch					x				x			I do not want an increase in rates			
178 Hannah	Batcherlor					v				×			greater than 0% I do not want an increase in rates			
						^				^			greater than 0% I do not want an increase in rates			
179 Jeffery	Luke					X				×			greater than 0% I do not want an increase in rates			
180 Radha	Harris					×				x			greater than 0%			
181 Cory	Buchanan					х				x		Disagrees with consultants.	I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%		Affordability	Cost / u consult
182 Dylan	Rusbatch					х				x		Lower them, rip off	I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0% / -10%			
183 Glen	Rusbatch					x				x		Lower them, getting ridiculous	I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%			
184 Quentin	Parkinson					х				х			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%			
185 Abbey	Rusbatch					x				x			I do not want an increase in rates			
186													greater than 0%			
187 Kellee	Biggs					х				x			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%			
188 Helen	Rusbatch					x				x			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%			
189 Chris	Rusbatch					х				x			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%			
190 Lynne	Duncan					x				x			I do not want an increase in rates			
191 Rylee Julz	Duncan					×				x			greater than 0% I do not want an increase in rates			<u> </u>
						~				~		I would like the current council stood down. I have	greater than 0% I would like to reject the current			
192 Lorna	Lavery					x				x		no faith in current council. THEY NEED TO GO far fa away.	proposal for the rates increase. I would like a "0%" increase.			
193 Doreen	Ryan					x				x			I do not want an increase in rates greater than NIL%			
194 Chris	Reynolds					x	This is State Highway. Government should fund it.			x	No-one connection. One charge. As in your bylaws	Businesses won't be able to survive with rates and compliance costs rising. Rubbish should be charged on user pays basis. Pay cuts for council staff/consultants. Timing of release of consultation not acceptable. Toilet tax - ridiculous idea.Rating consistency - imperative for survival of town to ensure we have NO rate rise. Hub was another example of wasted money. Trust in our council at an all time low.				
195 R and S	Landcaster and Hewitt					x				x			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 5%			1
196 Lynda	Reynolds					x	Believes this should be goverment funded.			x	Believes this should be kept to one connection. One charge.	Any rate rise should never be greater than the rate of inflation.Work undertaken by council needs to be for core functions only and kept to minimal costs. The business sector of Westport is bing particularly impacted by random and sometimes illegal compliance costs demanded by council - trade wast WOF costs. Council has no comprehension of the damage they are causing this town amid crippling rising costs and their relctance to be honest and transparent with the public.				



Summary	
Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics
t / usage of sultants	
t / usage of sultants	

			96	16	62	448		59	141	448		69.68%	69.68%_							
SUB	MISSIONS: Enhan			Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Neuriseu	Deading: Feedback Unedited	Option 1	Option 2	Naviau	Policy on Water Rates: Feedback	Other comments	Alternative forms			6			
	2024-20	25	Reduce programme	Continue	Кеер	- No view	Roading: Feedback Unedited	Revised	Keep Current	No view			Alternative form			Summary				
													EAP Feedback		Funding requested - \$					
No.	Forename	Surname	Organisation		Roading				Multi Us	er Water					only	Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics		
												Keep the policy the same on how water and wastewater targeted rates are charged.	I do not approve of the proposed rate increase: Majority of ratepayers are financially stressesd.							
													Council and Govt need to face up to the fact Rate							
													and Tax payers are already at the limit of their finances with no access to further income to meet							
													increases. Cancel LGNZ membership.							
													Westport Harbour: note harbour activities of the							
													port and dredging finances are ringfenced. A significant assumption contained in the plan is the							
													new large commercial operator would be trialling							
													shipping out of the port from 2023-24 and funding Westport Harbour. Does Westland Minieral Snads							
								Deduce everall programme to reflect Wells Ketabils level					Port Management contract allow them to set thier							
197	David	Barnes				x		Reduce overall programme to reflect Waka Kotahi's level of investment		x			wharfage and port charges? All assumptions on Harbour finances are unrelaistic if they continue to							
													truck to and ship out of Nelson. What if the commercial operation does not proceed after trial?							
													Income has already fallen below prediction of the							
													LTP ring fenced or not, this debt will have to be funded at some time.							
													Kawatiri: use for out-port dredging contracts							
													provided small net income . Nelson only possible viabe annual contract for BDC.							
													Kawatiri Significant Budget Capital Expenditure:							
													\$818,000 Kawatiri DOP pump installation and engine upgrades - project neiher accurately							
													documented or costed. Estimate only so effect illusory and leading to unsafe decision. <i>Explanation</i>							
													of DOB nump included in submission. The Hiteshi							
198	Steve	White					x				x		Believes council is pandering lowering to local govt standards and should be using local knowledge and	I do not want an increase in rates						
													skills to solve local problems We ask BDC to learn from the mistakes of previous							
													councils and now do the right thing by its							
													ratepayers. As well as for Council budgett funds to actively oppose Setvenson Mining's ill -advised plan							
		Grammer and											for coal mine on Te Kuha and in 100+ ha of the							
199	Linda and Ian	Mulholland					x						Westport Water Conservation Reserve. We would like to see the current levels of service for parks and							
													reserves maintenance be maintained. Like to see a process for Notable Trees. By providing green							
													spaces and open areas that contribute to							
													indigenous biodiversity and people's enjoyment of I do not agree with any rate increase at all/and the							
													water increase. I have not had time to consider any							
200	Wendy and Michael	Easton and					v				×		of these increases and do not feel the increases are justified with the two toilet rule. I feel there is no							
200	Michael	Fischer					^				^		clear communication to offer the residents of							
													Westport to making clear consise delivery of information on rates and increasing our rates is so	l do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%						
201	Gary	Clarke					x				x		No mandatory charges	I do not want an increase in rates						
				X								Questions the infromation and calculations.		greater than 3%						
	Wendy Margare			X						x			No mandatory charges	I do not want an increase in rates				<u> </u>		
	Ladvina	Clarke					x				Х			greater than 3%				ļ		
204	Annie and Kevin	Quinn					x				х		Questions spending and transparency.	I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%						
205	Brian	McFarlane					x				x		Affordability concern. Disagrees with consultant use.	I do not want an increase in rates						
														greater than 0%						
206	Karl	Tarr					x				х			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%						
207	Shane	Goodhoe				×			x			Disagrees with rating system and with paying for a service which isn't used.								
													ļ	I do not want an increase in set						
208	Lucy	Jackson					x				х			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%						
209	Wendy	Reynolds					x				x		Propose a 0% increase	I object to councils near 20% increase		nfrastructure Issues (Roading, water etc)				
	Susan	Watson		х						x			Propose a 0% increase	l object to councils near 20%		nfrastructure Issues (
211	Stephen	Reynolds					x				х			increase		Roading, water etc)				
212	Nicola	Greig					x				x		Feels the organisation is ineffective.	l do not want an increase in rates greater than 5%						
213	0	Williams					x				х		Would like more information on where funds are being spent	I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%						
214	L	Williams					x				x			I do not want an increase in rates						
	Barbara	Read									×		Questions intergrity of Council.	greater than 0% I do not want an increase in rates						
213	Daibaia	nedu	The O'Conor				×				Χ			greater than 0%						
216	Susan	Watson	Institute Trust	х						х										
217	Tristan	Duthie	Board	x						x			Affordability concerns.		4	Affordability				
218		Blythe	Chrystal Lodge Ltd	x						x		Affordability concerns.	Comments re waste.							
219	Ray Desna Bruce	Curnow Walker				~	х	Clarity requested.	×		Х	In favour of users pays	Affordability concerns for renters. Affordability concerns			Affordability Affordability				
220		WainCl	ļ			<u> </u>			٨	I	ļ	111 1avour of users pays						<u> </u>		



			96	16	62	448		59	141	448		69.68%							
SUBMISSIONS: Enhanced Annual Plan		Option 1		Option 3				Option 2		Policy on Water Rates: Feedback	Other comments								
2024-2025			Reduce	Continue		No view	Roading: Feedback Unedited			No view		Alternative form Sum			Summar	nmary			
			programme	Continue	Кеер			Kevised (Current			EAP Feedback							
No. Forename	Surname	Organisation		Roading				Multi User V	Nater					Funding requested -	\$ Top 3 Topic	Тор 3 Тор	cs To	op 3 Topics	
														only					
221 Allen	Morris						Based on hypothetical situations Large cloud in relation to Project Management Office		x		Question the need and reasons for the revised policy Possibly persuade people to seek a connection	Questions employee benefits expenses Would like a list of staff names, job titles and PD's			Affordability				
							Not possible to make a decision		^		Small districts may need to revert to individual supplies	It's all from ratepayers money			Anoradonity				
		Charleston -									Not submitting on water	Submission in relation to Charleston Waitakere Community Group			Infrastructure Iss	ues (
222 Sarah	Stephen	Waitakere Community Group				x	Not submitting for roading			x		Would like funding but understands the issue			Roading, water e				
												Do not support an increase							
223 Karen	Villarroel					x				x		Keep the things that are absolutely necessary Water rates are far too expensive			Affordability				
											Excessive increases due to excessive incompetence	Consultants fees are difficult to see Deliberately unclear why rates incraease							
												Deficient staff Last 13 years went up \$800							
224 Paul	Reynolds					x	Distraction from rates grab!			x		Money is wasted			Affordability	Cost / usage of consultants			
												Consultants costs Council are promoting non necessary services							
												Branding strategy submission							
												Would like to foster collaboration Seed funding request for Buller Promotion Group							
225 Jessica	Paley - Atkins	Buller Promotion Group				x				x		Breakdown of individuals and groups who support Buller Promotion Group		\$10,0	00				
												Workshop notes around promoting Buller							
												Vision for Buller promotion Group	I do not want an increase in rates						
226 Fiona	Sarten					x				х			greater than 5%						
227 Aiden	Bonisch					x				x			l do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%						
228 Heather	Thompson					x				x		Councillors must be accountable Doc should be accountable	I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%						
229 Jo	Absalom					x				х			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 5%						
												Would like BDC to maintain De Delmanches Rd Sealing the road would be long term outcome							
		Gentle Annie										32291 guest nights, 12,000 annual visitors		Linkoon of do					
230 Jesse and Jessica	Paley - Atkins	Seaside Accomodation and		x			Need good safe access		x			Employes 12 full-time staff Over 50 letters of support from Business'		Upkeep of de malmanches road					
		Camping Ground										Breakdown of community outcomes Multiple breakdown of letters of support							
221 Kanni	Blinkhorne												I do not want an increase in rates						
231 Kerry						×				X		Any rates increase over inflation is unaffordable	greater than 10% I do not want an increase in rates						
232 Fay	Armijo					x				х		Could be forced to leave Westport	greater than 5% I do not want an increase in rates						
233 Hayley	Williams					x				х			greater than 0%						
234 Deborah	Kirkwood					x				х		May discourage homebuyers to Buller May discourage rental market	greater than 5%						
235 Linda	Graham					x				х			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%						
236 Tom	Horncastle	Reefview Holdings	v							v		Wastewater rates bound on madness Would leave 12 tenants without a home							
230 1011			~							x		Think hard about the consequences of the rates rise							
237 Darin	Hosking					x				x			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%						
											Dethnell of BDC There will be a higher overall debtor ledger for the council								
238 David and	Russell		×						x		Doesn't understand the policy								
230 Gaylene											Unrealistic and unfair Unsustainable								
239 Kendra						x				x	Povicit policy		I do not want an increase in rates						
240 Thomas						x				~			greater than 1% I do not want an increase in rates						
	McDerela					~		┨──┼─					greater than 1% I do not want an increase in rates						
241 Julie	McDonald					X				x		Feels they pay enough already, no increase is fair.	greater than 1%						
242 Craig	Coleman					х				х		Drinking water is rough and stormwater can't handle anything.	l do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%		Infrastructure Iss Roading, water e				
											Needs to be consideration for exceptional business cases.	Westport Advantage (WA) owns 52 Russell St							
												complex leased to Epic and Radcliffes (two separate businesses), OK with 2x water and waste rates							
243 Murray	Dellaca	Westport			×					x		being charged. Proposal says 5x - is this a mistake? Epic = communal working space for customers with							
		Advantage LTD			^					^		different levels of occupation at different times of							
												day. WA are not shareholders of Epic, are the ratepayers and have two tenants = two lots of							
								┨───┤─				waste and water rates?	I do not want an increase in rates						
244 Wayne						х				х			greater than 1%						



	Summary	
o 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics
bility		
ucture Issues (s, water etc)		
bility		
bility	Cost / usage of consultants	
ucture Issues (
, water etc)		

			96	16	62	448		59 141	448		69.68%					
2024-2025		Option 1		Option 3			Option 1 Option 2		Policy on Water Rates: Feedback	Other comments						
		Reduce	Continue			Roading: Feedback Unedited	Revised Keep	No view			Alternative form			Summary		
		programme	Continue	кеер			Current			EAP Feedback						
No. Fore	ename	Surname Organisation		Roading				Multi User Water					Funding requested - \$	Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics	Top 3 Topics
													only			
											Opposes water and sewerage proposal - rushed					
											nature and reliance on inaccurate QV/council data, believes it to be inequitable. Communication was					
											confusing and crucial details missing. New policy					
											will mean rates rise to 6720.40 from 4229.40, is					
											unmanageable. Built unit for elderly mother under 90 m2 so as not to be considered as dwelling, and					
											joined drains/sewerage onto existing dwelling at					
											own cost to maintain one connection. Cannot be					
											subdivided off or properly used as a rental,					
245 Jenr	ny	Collins	x					x			effectively an extension of main house/for family only. Should be a remission policy to address this					
											instead of paying for two additional connectioons.					
											User pays should guide charges and commercial					
											properties be monitored and metered					
											appropriately. Better efforts to identify and communicate with affected ratepayers necessary.					
											Descriptions currently held by QV mean some					
											existing qualifying properties with tenants may not					
											be identified, creating animosity. SUIP description removed from 2024/25 AP documentation?					
											-					
246 Nori	rma	ODea				x					No mandatory charges, no \$ consultants, ratepayers, esp. elderly not working can't afford	I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%		Cost of consultants	Affordability	
247 R		Watson				x			х			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%				
248 Sale	esi	Kaifoto				x			х		No hub.	I do not want an increase in rates				
										Particularly concerned about proposal to charge 50% of water charge		greater than 0%				
										to properties not connected but within 50 m of a water line. Status						
										quo should be maintained - no connection to boundary, not	household finances - the proposed increases are					
										connected to supply, no rates charge. Concerned that properties with no connection to the boundary and in locations where water	unaffordable to many.					
										supply is non-potable/subject to boil water notice - in this case 50%						
										charge is unacceptable as most people wouldn't choose to connect						
										anyway due to quality issues. Extra charge plus cost of consent/connecting isn't fair or acceptable and is unaffordable						
249 Lear	nne	Sinclair	x					х		during a cost of living crisis.						
											Roading needs to be kept equal to the amount given					
250 Sara	ah	Shannon				x			х							
											more. Keep rubbish user-pays, no community hub.	greater than 0%				
251 Lisa-	a-Marie	Garrett				х			х			Zero would be great				
252						X			x		Rates have virtually doubled in 8yrs has owned					├
253 Lind	da	Shearer				x			х			Zero would be great				
											rate at very most, prefer 0% increase.	I do not wont on increase in wat				ļ]
254 Pete	er	Mackie				х			x		No hub.	I do not want an increase in rates greater than 0%				
255 Davi	vid	Jackson				x			x			I do not want an increase in rates greater than 5%				
256 Dan	niel	Neil				x			x		This rates rise has got to stop, too much money	I do not want an increase in rates				
257 Male		Smith				x			x			greater than 0% I do not want an increase in rates greater than 2%				
250	oor	Hamilton								1		greater than 2% I do not want an increase in rates				
258 Jazp	URI I	Hamilton				X			x	<u> </u>		greater than 4%				

